

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO AT BOULDER
BOULDER CAMPUS PLANNING COMMISSION

Minutes of the Meeting of April 10, 2008

The Boulder Campus Planning Commission (BCPC) met on Thursday, April 10, 2008, in Regent Administrative Center, Room 302.

Members present were: Matthew Beres, Steve Bruns, Deb Coffin, Noel Cummings, Larry Hill, Bill Kaempfer, Paul Leef, Gregg Lundgren, Keith Maskus, Steve McNally, Phil Simpson, Robin Suits, Cindy White, and Karen Lorimer. Members absent were: Maren Additon, Charles Gilford, Steve Jones, Michael Lightner, Evan Litvin, Russ Moore, Joseph Rosse, and Richard Wobbekind.

Guests present were: Kim Glasscock, *Silver & Gold*; Thomas Goodhew, Planning, Facilities Management; Curt Huetson, Housing & Dining Services; Tom McGann, Athletics; Richelle Reilly, Planning, Facilities Management.

1. **MINUTES** – Coffin asked for a motion to approve the minutes of March 11, 2008. It was moved by McNally to approve the March minutes; Cummings seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.
2. **CHAIR'S REPORT** – Coffin informed everyone that there will be a June BCPC meeting with a full agenda. Coffin introduced Leef to present the Facilities Task Force / Flagship 20/30 plan.
3. **Facilities Task Force / Flagship 2030 Presentation with Questions & Answers** – Leef said that the Chancellor appointed a number of groups to the task force. Task forces have been assigned in collaboration with faculty governance. These groups are developing more detailed implementation methodologies of the initiatives. Task forces are developing action plans for the initiatives and will review enrollment and budget models. They are at a crossroad between the vision and actually performing the tasks.

The Planning overview is to develop a master plan – a facilities plan driven by academic program needs with a 10 year cycle. The last master plan was done in 1998-2001. The next master planning cycle starts in 2008. The Task Force topics of focus are: enhancing education and scholarships, fostering research excellence, investing in the tools for success, residential colleges, Colorado's research diamond, creating University villages, year-round learning, supporting the mission, and enhancing Graduate education. There are facilities task force subgroups who will report information they have gathered to Sr. Vice Chancellor, Ric Porreca and Provost, Phil DeStefano in May.

White asked if they will be hiring faculty before or after student enrollment increases. Leef said they are dealing with instructional mode and facilities reactionary mode. Maskus said they have run across timing questions and they want to accumulate questions to present to Sr. Vice Chancellor, Ric Porreca. Hill questioned who will take care of the problem if we don't have a place to put people – they have no place to go. Leef said integration of decision making is key to Academic planning. White commented that interdisciplinary pieces of academic work are using some spaces doubly and she wondered if they would be integrated with the housing programs. White inquired about East and South campus and asked if they had a solution for the lack of food service

availability. Leef said that the strategic vision will determine programmatically where they go. Coffin said there is no reference to Housing sites. Kaempfer commented that BCPC's primary function is to discuss land use. Leef asked Kaempfer what he thought about Grandview. Kaempfer said the Grandview is not big enough to support five buildings the size of Ketchum and it can not be built with enough campus features. We need to start looking at land use and the obvious answer is east campus. This solution creates two different core campuses, which creates transportation issues; Michigan and Duke Universities have a similar situation and are able to deal with problem effectively. McGann said there is a political issue with South campus and it would create more transportation issues. Kaempfer added that South campus was built with a 50 years out building plan. We are 15 years in to that so it is not viable now. Leef said that housing is not viable in Boulder for 300 more faculties. White asked if the Flagship committee had prioritized anything. Coffin said they are identifying questions, issues and problems.

4. **Master Plan Discussion** – Simpson introduced the Master Plan process. He said that a committee will take a high level look at what is happening and where we are going. The Master Plan will follow after the strategic plan. The committee will get a sense of the leadership direction and figure out the direction the University will go. Administration, Regents and the City of Boulder will be interviewed to find out about where campus would go for the next 10 years, which will help identify the majority of peoples' views. Housing and enrollment growth as well as the source of revenues will be considered and synthesized into general areas. Exploring the next five years, Housing and Family Housing, north of Boulder Creek, East Campus will be examined to determine what direction to go; transportation and parking will need to be integrated with Housing plans.

McNally asked what the preliminary timing of the Master Plan update will be. Simpson said they would like to start the process this summer and begin work by September. The process will take up to a year with a six month adoption and review process. Kaempfer asked when product is due. Simpson said they are trying to figure out what the constraints are. According to CCHE, the Master Plans are good for 10 years from adoption. There are new proposed projects that are not in the Master Plan. Kaempfer said that most projects that were proposed have been done. The Master Plan determines the path growth will follow. Hill asked how accurately we predicted everything 10 years ago. Simpson anticipated that it was fairly correct with the exception of enrollment growth – we met that at six years. Buildings are in however state funding is not.

5. **FY 09-10 Capital Construction Update – Planning Design Review Tracks** – Simpson distributed the Planning Review Tracks breakdown sheet for everyone to review. He pointed out that there is a lot of work coming in the next two years. Coming in June for BCPC approval are Schematic Designs for C4C, IBS, Heating & Cooling Plant, Ketchum Capital Renewal, Ekeley Sciences Renovation, and the Grade Separation at Regent; BCPC will be an extra long meeting. In October, the Schematic Designs for JILA, Systems Biotechnology and the Distribution of the Heating & Cooling Plant are planned.
6. **Quarterly Report Update / Review (Simpson)** – Simpson gave an update on the Quarterly Report. He said that Aerospace Engineering and Geosciences were approved by Regents and will be reviewed in July or August. Coffin commented that Sewall is nice; it has more light and is energy efficient. Huetson said that students will appreciate the patio addition. They said that Housing and the Design Build team have learned good lessons for the Arnett and Andrews's renovation.

7. **Other Items from Members** – Beres said that UCSU created a bill to create a disability task force. Kaempfer said that the By-Laws need to be adjusted; Vice Chancellors have changed and background work needs to be done. He suggested talking with Sally and Stein Sture; check the notice to see if Stein Sture is on the e-mail list. McNally said that projects no longer have to be taken to the Board of Regents; a report has to be done twice per year, which will make the process efficient and there will not be a pause. Simpson said the State changed the laws on the threshold of Capital Construction to \$2 million. This could have an impact on campus – BCPC needs to look at this.

Jones asked for a motion to adjourn. Lundgren moved and the meeting was adjourned. Minutes submitted by Karen Lorimer.